

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

MARK EDWARD HURST,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No.: 2:11-cv-1090  
JUDGE SMITH  
Magistrate Judge King

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION  
AND CORRECTION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**ORDER**

On February 19, 2014, the United States Magistrate Judge issued a *Report and Recommendation* recommending that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment be denied and that Plaintiff's Motion to Compel is denied. The parties were specifically advised of their right to object to the *Report and Recommendation* and of the consequences of their failure to do so. There has nevertheless been no objection to the *Report and Recommendation*.

The *Report and Recommendation* is hereby **ADOPTED** and **AFFIRMED**. Plaintiff's Motions (Docs. 78 and 84) are **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

*/s/ George C. Smith*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
GEORGE C. SMITH, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT