IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

SARA ELIZABETH SIEGLER,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:12-cv-472 Judge Marbley Magistrate Judge King

CITY OF COLUMBUS, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Motion of Defendant
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority for an Order Compelling
Plaintiff Sara Elizabeth Siegler to File an Amended Complaint that
Complies with Federal Civil Procedure Rule 10(b) ("Defendant's
Motion"), Doc. No. 17. Defendant argues that plaintiff "failed to
number her claims [in the complaint] . . . [and] failed to limit her
paragraphs to a single set of circumstances, all making it not
practicable for Defendant to set forth all available defenses and
causing Defendant to set forth general denials to the allegations in
the Complaint." Id. at p. 2. Plaintiff, who is proceeding without
the assistance of counsel, opposes defendant's motion. Memorandum
Contra Document 17, Doc. No. 19. Defendant has not filed a reply.

Rule 10(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that all claims be set forth in "numbered paragraphs, each limited as far as practicable to a single set of circumstances." Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(b). Plaintiff's Complaint, Doc. No. 1-3, does not strictly comply with Rule 10(b) because the paragraphs are not numbered and they are

not limited "to a single set of circumstances." Nevertheless, pro se complaints are to be held to "less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers." Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 596 (1972). See also Williams v. Curtin, 631 F.3d 380, 383 (6th Cir. 2011) (quoting Martin v. Overton, 391 F.3d 710, 712 (6th Cir. 2004)).

Plaintiff's Complaint specifically identifies each defendant against whom relief is sought and expressly sets forth five claims for relief. See Complaint, pp. 39-42. The Court is satisfied that the Complaint is sufficiently specific so as to give the Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority, the only defendant remaining in the action, notice of the claims against it. Under the circumstances, the Court will not require plaintiff to file an amended complaint.

Defendant's Motion, Doc. No. 17, is therefore DENIED.

April 29, 2013

s/Norah McCann King Norah McCann King United States Magistrate Judge