

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

MARK R. WINKLE,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:14-cv-0003

JUDGE GREGORY L. FROST

Magistrate Judge Norah M. King

EDMUND A. SARGUS, et al.,

Defendants.

**ORDER**

Plaintiff Mark R. Winkle is a *pro se* litigant seeking monetary and other damages for an alleged conspiracy. On January 6, 2014, Plaintiff moved for leave to proceed with this case in forma pauperis. (ECF No. 4.) The Magistrate Judge granted Plaintiff's request. (ECF No. 8.)

On January 10, 2014, the Magistrate Judge recommended that this case be dismissed ("R&R"). (ECF No. 8.) Plaintiff objected to the R&R. (ECF No. 10.) After considering those objections and conducting a de novo review of the R&R, the Court adopted the R&R and dismissed Plaintiff's Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 12.) Plaintiff now appeals the Court's order adopting the R&R and dismissing this case. (ECF No. 14.)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), an appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith. *See also* Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). For the reasons set forth in the R&R and the Court's March 13, 2014 Opinion & Order adopting the R&R, the Court hereby **CERTIFIES** that Plaintiff's appeal is not taken in good faith. There exists no reasonable basis for any claims of alleged error.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**/s/ Gregory L. Frost**  
**GREGORY L. FROST**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**