Jackson v. Mausser et al Doc. 14

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

NATHANIEL A. JACKSON,

Petitioner,

vs.

Civil Action 2:15-cv-313 Judge Frost Magistrate Judge King

CYNTHIA MAUSSER, et al.,

Respondents.

## ORDER

On June 26, 2015, the United States Magistrate Judge recommended that respondent's Motion to Dismiss, ECF No. 5, be granted. Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 12. Specifically, the Magistrate Judge reasoned that, because the claim presented in the Petition had not been the subject of a state court petition for a writ of mandamus, the action should be dismissed without prejudice for failure to exhaust petitioner's state court remedies. Id. There has been no objection to the Report and Recommendation. However, on July 6, 2015, petitioner moved to voluntarily dismiss the action without prejudice so that he may pursue that state court remedy. Motion for Voluntary Dismissal, ECF No. 13.

The Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 12, is ADOPTED AND AFFIRMED. Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 10, is DENIED and respondent's Motion to Dismiss, ECF No. 5, is GRANTED. Petitioner's Motion for Voluntary Dismissal, ECF No. 13, is likewise

## GRANTED.

This action is hereby  ${\tt DISMISSED}$  without prejudice for failure to exhaust.

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to enter **FINAL JUDGMENT** in this action.

/s/ GREGORY L. FROST
Gregory L. Frost
United States District Judge