IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

LARRY SABO,

Petitioner,

v.

Case No. 2:16-cv-536 Judge Marbley Magistrate Judge King

WARDEN, LONDON CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

Petitioner brings this action under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, alleging that the retroactive application of Ohio's parole guidelines to him violates his rights under federal and state law. See generally Petition, ECF No. 3. Respondents have until September 6, 2016, to respond to the Petition. Order, ECF No. 8. This matter is now before the Court on Petitioner's Motion for an Evidentiary Hearing, ECF NO. 10, Motion to Appoint Counsel, ECF No. 11, Motion for Class Certification, ECF No. 12, and Motion for Discovery, ECF No. 13.

Respondents have not yet responded to the *Petition*; until they do, it is unclear that discovery or an evidentiary hearing will be required to resolve the issues presented in the *Petition*. It is likewise unclear at this juncture that the appointment of counsel is necessary to the efficient resolution of the matter. Under these circumstances, Petitioner's *Motion for an Evidentiary Hearing*, ECF NO. 10, *Motion to Appoint Counsel*, ECF No. 11, and *Motion for Discovery*, ECF No. 13, are **DENIED** without prejudice to renewal at a later stage of the proceedings.

Because there is currently no counsel to assist in the prosecution of a class action, moreover, certification of the action as a class action would be inappropriate. *See Palasty v. Hawk*, 15 Fed.Appx. 197, 2001 WL 857209, **2 (6th Cir. June 20, 2001); *Oxendine v. Williams*, 509 F.2d 1405 (4th Cir. 1984). Petitioner's *Motion for Class Certification*, ECF No. 12, is therefore likewise **DENIED** without prejudice to renewal should counsel be appointed for Petitioner or the putative class.

> /s/ ALGENON L. MARBLEY Algenon L. Marbley United States District Judge