

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

JANET L. FINTON,

Plaintiff, :

v.

**Case No. 2:20-cv-4139
Judge Sarah D. Morrison
Magistrate Judge Kimberly A.
Jolson**

**COMMISSIONER OF
SOCIAL SECURITY, :**

Defendant.

OPINION AND ORDER

Janet L. Finton (“Plaintiff”) brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security (“Commissioner”) denying her application for Social Security period of disability and disability insurance benefits. (ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff filed her Statement of Errors on March 30, 2021. (ECF No. 16.) The Commissioner filed a Memorandum in Opposition. (ECF No. 17.) Plaintiff did not reply. On June 3, 2021, Magistrate Judge Jolson issued a Report and Recommendation, recommending that the Court overrule Plaintiff’s Statement of Errors and affirm the Commissioner’s denial of benefits. (ECF No. 18.) Plaintiff filed her Objection to the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation. (ECF No. 20.) The Commissioner filed no response.

If a party objects within the allotted time to a report and recommendation, the Court “shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28

U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Upon review, the Court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Court’s review “is limited to determining whether the Commissioner’s decision ‘is supported by substantial evidence and was made pursuant to proper legal standards.’” *Ealy v. Comm’r of Soc. Sec.*, 594 F.3d 504, 512 (6th Cir. 2010) (quoting *Rogers v. Comm’r of Soc. Sec.*, 486 F.3d 234, 241 (6th Cir. 2007)); *see also* 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) (“The findings of the Commissioner of Social Security as to any fact, if supported by substantial evidence, shall be conclusive . . .”).

The Court has carefully reviewed the record and concludes that the decision of the Commission is supported by substantial evidence and was made pursuant to proper legal standards. The issues raised in Plaintiff’s Objection were considered and correctly addressed by Magistrate Judge Jolson.

Accordingly, the Court **OVERRULES** Plaintiff’s Objection (ECF No. 20), **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 18), and **AFFIRMS** the Commissioner’s decision. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to **TERMINATE** this case from the docket records of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Eastern Division.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Sarah D. Morrison
SARAH D. MORRISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE