

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

Montel M. McClelland,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:20-cv-5331

City of Steubenville, *et al*,

Judge Michael H. Watson

Defendants.

Magistrate Judge Jolson

OPINION AND ORDER

Montel McClelland (“Plaintiff”), proceeding without the assistance of counsel, filed this case and moved to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Mot., ECF No. 1. The Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) recommending dismissal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). R&R, ECF No. 4.

The R&R notified the parties of their right to file objections to the R&R pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). *Id.* The R&R advised the parties that the failure to object to the R&R within fourteen days would result in a waiver of the right to *de novo* review by the District Judge and waiver of the right to appeal the decision of the District Court adopting the R&R. *Id.* The deadline for filing such objections has passed, and no objections were filed.

Having received no objections, the R&R is **ADOPTED**, and Plaintiff’s Complaint is **DISMISSED**. ECF No. 4. The Court **CERTIFIES** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that for the reasons stated in the R&R, any appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith and therefore **DENIES** Plaintiff leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to terminate this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Michael H. Watson
MICHAEL H. WATSON, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT