



(ECF No. 25, PageID 567).

Petitioner does not clarify in her Motion for Reconsideration the principal question posed by the Magistrate Judge's Order: is she seeking a copy of a bill of particulars which already exists in the Common Pleas Court case or seeking an order from this Court that the State create a bill of particulars? Petitioner has still not said which it is. Nor has she shown that mandamus is the proper remedy, regardless of which it is.

Petitioner's principal complaint is that the Order did not address her request for a writ of mandamus to produce the warrant for her arrest which resulted in her being arrested on July 21, 2020 (ECF No. 29). But Petitioner made no such request; the referenced arrest warrant is not mentioned in the request for mandamus. Had it been, the Magistrate Judge's response would have been the same: mandamus is not the proper remedy to obtain the arrest warrant.

Having reconsidered as requested Petitioner's Motion for a writ of mandamus, the Magistrate Judge adheres to his prior decision.

September 24, 2021.

*s/ Michael R. Merz*  
United States Magistrate Judge