

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

SANDRA TURNER,	:	Case No. 3:09-cv-258
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	Judge Timothy S. Black
	:	Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz
vs.	:	
	:	
MICHAEL ASTRUE,	:	
COMMISSIONER OF	:	
SOCIAL SECURITY,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

**DECISION AND ENTRY: (1) ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE (Doc. 11) IN ITS ENTIRETY; (2) OVERRULING PLAINTIFF’S OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. 12); (3) AFFIRMING THE COMMISSIONER’S DECISION THAT PLAINTIFF WAS NOT DISABLED; AND (4) TERMINATING THIS CASE**

Plaintiff Sandra Turner brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for judicial review of the decision of the Defendant Commissioner of Social Security denying her application for Disability Insurance Benefits (“DIB”) and Supplemental Security Income (“SSI”).

On April 2, 2010, United States Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz entered a Report and Recommendations (Doc. 11) recommending that the Commissioner’s non-disability determination be affirmed and this case be terminated. Plaintiff subsequently filed Objections (Doc. 12), and the Commissioner filed a Response to Plaintiff’s Objections (Doc. 13). The case is now ripe for decision.

This Court's function is to determine whether the record as a whole contains substantial evidence to support the ALJ's decision. *Bowen v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, 478 F.3d 742, 745-46 (6th Cir. 2007). This Court must also determine whether the ALJ applied the correct legal criteria. *Id.*

Regarding the substantial evidence requirement, the ALJ's findings must be affirmed if they are supported by "such relevant evidence as a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a conclusion." *Richardson v. Perales*, 402 U.S. 389, 401 (1971) (citing *Consol. Edison Co. v. NLRB*, 305 U.S. 197, 229 (1938)). Substantial evidence is more than a mere scintilla, but only so much as would be required to prevent a judgment as a matter of law if this case were being tried to a jury. *Foster v. Bowen*, 853 F.2d 483, 486 (6th Cir. 1988) (citing *NLRB v. Columbian Enameling & Stamping Co.*, 306 U.S. 292, 300 (1939)).

The second judicial inquiry, reviewing the ALJ's legal criteria, may result in reversal even if the record contains substantial evidence supporting the ALJ's factual findings. *Bowen*, 478 F.3d at 746. A reversal based on the ALJ's legal criteria may occur, for example, when the ALJ has failed to follow the Commissioner's "own regulations and where that error prejudices a claimant on the merits or deprives the claimant of a substantial right." *Id.* (citing in part *Wilson v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, 378 F.3d 541, 546-47 (6th Cir. 2004)).

In this case, based upon the reasoning and citations of authority set forth in the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations (Doc. 11), in Plaintiff's Objections (Doc. 12) and in Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Objections (Doc. 13), as well as upon a thorough *de novo* review of the Court's file, including the Administrative Transcript, and a thorough review of the applicable law, the Court adopts the aforesaid Report and Recommendations in its entirety, and overrules Plaintiff's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations.

Accordingly, in so doing, the Court hereby **ORDERS** that the Report and Recommendations of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. 11) is **ADOPTED** in its entirety, that Plaintiff's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations (Doc. 12) are **OVERRULED**, that the ALJ's non-disability finding is **AFFIRMED**, and that this case is **TERMINATED** upon the docket records of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, at Dayton.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Date: 7/8/10

Timothy S. Black  
Timothy S. Black  
United States District Judge