

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON**

DAVID HAMILTON,	:	
	:	Case No. 3:11cv00102
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	Magistrate Judge Sharon L. Ovington
vs.	:	(By full consent of the parties)
GORDON J. SPURLING, et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

---



---

**ORDER**

---



---

This case is presently before the Court upon pro se Plaintiff David Hamilton’s Renewed Motion to Compel Discovery (Doc. #34), and the record as a whole.

Plaintiff asserts that counsel for the Defendants “acknowledged receiving [his] discovery requests during a telephone conference with the Court,” and that “28 days have elapsed and Plaintiff has received no response from Defendant nor has Defendant filed a request for protective order.” (Doc. #34 at 1). On April 12, 2012, this Court Ordered Defendants “to respond to Plaintiff’s Renewed Motion to Compel (Doc. #34) on an expedited basis, in light of the upcoming trial date.” (Doc. #35). Defendants’ Response was due on or before April 27, 2012. To date, Defendants have not filed a response and the time for doing so has expired.

Plaintiff’s Renewed Motion to Compel (Doc. #34) is therefore **GRANTED** and Defendants **ORDERED** to provide the requested discovery **on or before May 8, 2012.**

Defendants are placed on notice that failure to abide by this Order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

May 1, 2012

s/Sharon L. Ovington  
Sharon L. Ovington  
United States Magistrate Judge