## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

CLAIRE SNYDER,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. 3:11cv201

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

JUDGE WALTER HERBERT RICE

Defendant.

DECISION AND ENTRY ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE (DOC. #15) IN THEIR ENTIRETY; DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS TO SAID JUDICIAL FILING (DOC. #16) OVERRULED; JUDGMENT TO BE ENTERED IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF AND AGAINST DEFENDANT COMMISSIONER, REVERSING COMMISSIONER'S DECISION THAT PLAINTIFF WAS NOT DISABLED AND, THEREFORE, NOT ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, AND REMANDING THE CAPTIONED CAUSE TO THE DEFENDANT COMMISSIONER FOR AN AWARD OF BENEFITS UNDER THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT; TERMINATION ENTRY

Plaintiff has brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) to review a decision of the Defendant Commissioner denying Plaintiff's application for Social Security disability benefits. On August 3, 2012, the United States Magistrate Judge filed a Report and Recommendations (Doc. #15), recommending that the Commissioner's decision that Plaintiff was not disabled and, therefore, not entitled to benefits under the Social Security Act be found unsupported by substantial evidence and reversed and that the captioned cause be remanded for an immediate award of benefits under the Social Security Act with an onset date of October 12, 2007. Based

667 F.2d 524, 536 (6th Cir. 1981); Houston v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 736 F.2d 365 (6th Cir. 1984); Garner v. Heckler, 745 F.2d 383 (6th Cir. 1984).

However, the Court may not try the case de novo, resolve conflicts in evidence or decide questions of credibility. Garner, supra. The findings of the Commissioner of Social Security and proceedings on Claimant's application for social security disability benefits are not subject to reversal merely because there exists in the record substantial evidence to support a different conclusion. Buxton v. Halter, Commissioner of Social Security, 246 F.3d 762 (6th Cir. 2001). If the Commissioner's decision is supported by substantial evidence, it must be affirmed, even if the Court as a trier of fact would have arrived at a different conclusion. Elkins v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 658 F.2d 437, 439 (6th Cir. 1981).

In addition to the foregoing, in ruling as aforesaid, this Court makes the following, non-exclusive, observations:

1. In this Court's opinion, all essential factual issues have been resolved and the record adequately establishes Plaintiff's entitlement to benefits. Faucher v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 17 F.3d 171, 176 (6th Cir. 1994). Herein, proof of disability is strong and opposing evidence is lacking in substance. Id.

WHEREFORE, based upon the aforesaid, this Court adopts the Report and Recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge (Doc. #15) in their entirety, having concluded that the Commissioner's decision that Plaintiff was not disabled and,

therefore, not entitled to benefits under the Social Security Act was not supported by

substantial evidence. Defendant's Objections to said judicial filing (Doc. #16) are

overruled. Judgment will be ordered entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the

Defendant Commissioner, finding that the Commissioner's decision of non-disability is

unsupported by substantial evidence and is, therefore, reversed. The captioned cause

is ordered remanded to the Defendant Commissioner for an immediate award of

benefits, consistent with the Social Security Act, with an onset date of October 12,

2007.

The captioned cause is hereby ordered terminated upon the docket records of

the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, at

Dayton.

September 25, 2012

WALTER HERBERT RICE, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Copies to:

Counsel of record

-5-