Fannon v. Patterson et al Doc. 29

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

CHRISTIAN P. FANNON, : Case No. 3:13-cv-14

Plaintiff.

Magistrate Judge Michael J. Newman

v. : (Consent case)

DON PATTERSON, et al.,

Defendants. :

ORDER CLARIFYING THE RESPONSE TIME TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED PRO SE COMPLAINT

Plaintiff's amended pro se complaint was docketed on October 18, 2013 and appears to

have been served upon opposing counsel earlier in October; specifically, on October 10, 2013.

See doc. 28 at PageID 205. As the Court has no way of knowing, with certainty, whether or not

service occurred on October 10th, the Court -- acting in the interest of justice -- uses the October

18th date, instead, for its date calculations.

Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(3), Defendants' response to the amended pro se pleading is

due within 14 days of October 18th. Pursuant to Rule 6(d), this period is extended to 17 days

because the amended complaint was served by mail. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(C). Seventeen

days from October 18, 2013 is November 4, 2013.

Accordingly, Defendants' response to Plaintiff's amended complaint is due on or before

November 4, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 1, 2013

s/ Michael J. Newman

United States Magistrate Judge