

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

CARIN MILLER,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 3:13-cv-90

vs.

EXPERIAN INFORMATION
SOLUTIONS, INC., *et al.*,

Judge Thomas M. Rose
Magistrate Judge Michael J. Newman

Defendants.

ORDER

On June 4, 2014, the Court held an informal discovery conference, by telephone and with all of the counsel in this case, to discuss a number of discovery matters addressed in two letters the Court received on June 3, 2014: one letter from Plaintiff's counsel, John Fischer; the other, from counsel for Defendant Trans Union LLC, William Huse.

The Court has reviewed and carefully considered the matters raised in the letters and also the arguments of counsel. To that end, the Court **ORDERS** as follows:

1. There appears to be an issue with the readability of Plaintiff's draft amended complaint and attachments thereto. Plaintiff shall re-file the draft pleading on or before **June 11, 2014**. All pages and exhibits shall be clearly readable;

2. Plaintiff's counsel shall confirm --- in a writing to be docketed in this case on or before **June 11, 2014** -- that Plaintiff has produced all of the documents she has, and has undertaken in good faith an investigation to find all documents responsive to Defendants' discovery requests. Plaintiff and her counsel are both **REMINDED** of the continuing Rule 37 obligation to supplement Plaintiff's discovery responses as more documents and/or information become available;

3. On or before **June 11, 2014**, all parties to this case shall sign a draft Protective Order and submit the document, in Word, to chambers (newman_chambers@ohsd.uscourts.gov) for the Court's review. Once the Protective Order is signed by the Court and docketed, Plaintiff's counsel shall **PROMPTLY PRODUCE** all previously-produced documents, that were redacted, in unredacted form;

4. Plaintiff shall produce a timeline, of events giving rise to the claims here at issue, on or before **June 11, 2014**; and

5. Plaintiff shall review each and every response given to Trans Union's interrogatories, request for production of documents, and requests for admission and shall prepare new responses which comply in all respects with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Responses such as "Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory because it is vague, unduly burdensome, and overbroad," without more, *see* Plaintiff's response to Trans Union Interrogatory 10, shall be deemed insufficient and rewritten to give more complete and appropriate responses.

Plaintiff is **ADVISED** that a violation of this Order may give rise to any of the sanctions listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2)(A) including dismissal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

June 4, 2014

s/ **Michael J. Newman**
United States Magistrate Judge