

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

HOBART CORPORATION, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE DAYTON POWER & LIGHT
COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

:

:

:

Case No. 3:13-cv-115

JUDGE WALTER H. RICE

ORDER DEEMING ANSWERS TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT TO
BE ANSWERS TO THE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT; ANSWERS
TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT TO BE FILED ONLY IF
NECESSARY TO RESPOND TO UNIQUE ALLEGATIONS

As discussed during the conference call held on April 1, 2014, in order to avoid unnecessary duplication, the Answers that Defendants filed to the First Amended Complaint (Doc. #144) shall also be deemed Answers to the Second Amended Complaint (Doc. #236). Answers to the Second Amended Complaint shall be filed only if necessary to respond to unique allegations contained in the Second Amended Complaint.

Date: April 9, 2014



WALTER H. RICE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE