Alahverdian v. Grebinski et al Doc. 40

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

NICHOLAS ALAHVERDIAN,

Case No. 3:13-cv-132

Plaintiff,

**Judge Thomas M. Rose** 

-V-

Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz

MARY J. GREBINSKI, et al.,

Defendants.

ENTRY AND ORDER OVERRULING ALAHVERDIAN'S OBJECTIONS (Doc. #32) TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE MERZ'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS (Doc. #30); OVERRULING ALAHVERDIAN'S OBJECTIONS (Doc. #36) TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE MERZ'S SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS (Doc. #34); ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE MERZ'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS IN THEIR ENTIRETY AND DISMISSING ALAHVERDIAN'S AMENDED COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE

Plaintiff Nicholas Alahverdian ("Alahverdian") brought this action against Defendants Mary J. Grebinski and Nathan M. Lanning (collectively referred to hereinafter as "Defendants") alleging defamation per se, false light invasion of privacy and intentional infliction of emotional distress. (Doc. #21.) The Defendants filed a Motion To Dismiss Alahverdian's Amended Complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). (Doc. #23.) This Motion was fully briefed. (Docs. #27 and #28.)

On May 19, 2014, Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz issued a Report and Recommendations recommending that the Defendants' Motion To Dismiss be granted with prejudice. (Doc. #30.) Alahverdian subsequently objected to this Report and Recommendations (doc. #32) and the matter was recommitted to Magistrate Judge Merz for further analysis (doc.

#33). On August 5, 2014, Magistrate Judge Merz issued a Supplemental Report and

Recommendations (doc. #34) again recommending that Alahverdian's Amended Complaint be

dismissed with prejudice. Alahverdian again objected. (Doc. #36.) The Defendants have

responded to Alahverdian's objections. (Doc. #38.) Alahverdian's Objections are, therefore, ripe

for decision.

As required by 28 U.S.C. §636(b) and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 72(b), the

District Judge has made a de novo review of the record in this case. Upon said review, the Court

finds that Alahverdian's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations and

Alahverdian's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Supplemental Report and Recommendations

are not well-taken, and they are hereby OVERRULED. The Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendations and Supplemental Report and Recommendations are adopted in their entirety.

The Defendants' Motion To Dismiss is granted. Alahverdian's Amended Complaint is

dismissed with prejudice.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** in Dayton, Ohio, this Eighth Day of September, 2014.

s/Thomas M. Rose

THOMAS M. ROSE UNITED STATED DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record

-2-