

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

JOSEPH M. RIEGER,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 3:13-cv-402

vs.

GENERAL DYNAMICS INFORMATION
TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Judge Walter H. Rice
Magistrate Judge Michael J. Newman

Defendant.

ORDER

Pro se Plaintiff was previously directed to amend his complaint in order to correctly identify the Defendant named in the case caption. *See* doc. 15. During the preliminary pretrial conference held with Plaintiff and counsel for Defendant on January 29, 2014, Plaintiff agreed that the name he had given Defendant in his complaint (“General Dynamics”) was not the correct name for that corporate entity.

Accordingly, the Court **NOTES** that the properly-named Defendant here is “General Dynamics Information Technology, Inc.” All future filings, by both sides, shall reference this party name. As both sides are now in agreement as to the properly-named Defendant, the Court **RESCINDS** its prior Order requiring Plaintiff to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff shall, however, name “General Dynamics Information Technology, Inc.” in all future filings with this Court and in his discovery requests.

This case shall proceed as “Joseph M. Reiger, Plaintiff, versus General Dynamics Information Technology, Inc., Defendant.” The Clerk shall so **AMEND** the docket sheet.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

February 6, 2014

s/ **Michael J. Newman**
United States Magistrate Judge