IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

DENISE MICHELLE BYRD. Case No.: 3:14-cv-242

Plaintiff,

vs.

COMMISSIONER
OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Magistrate Judge Michael J. Newman (Consent Case)

Defendant.

ORDER¹ (1) APPROVING THE PARTIES' JOINT STIPULATION FOR EAJA FEES (DOC. 16) UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2412; (2) DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EAJA FEES (DOC. 14) AS MOOT; AND (3) GRANTING PLAINTIFF EAJA FEES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,700.00

This Social Security disability benefits appeal is before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d) (doc. 14), and the parties' subsequently filed joint stipulation agreeing that Plaintiff be awarded EAJA fees in the amount of \$4,700.00. Doc. 16. The Court has carefully reviewed these documents and they are now ripe for consideration.

EAJA provides for an award of attorney's fees to a party who prevails in a civil action against the United States "when the position taken by the Government is not substantially justified and no special circumstances exist warranting a denial of fees." *Bryant v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, 578 F.3d 443, 445 (6th Cir. 2009) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A)). A party who prevails and obtains a Sentence Four remand is a prevailing party for EAJA purposes. *See*

¹ The parties unanimously consented to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge. Doc. 4.

Shalala v. Schaefer, 509 U.S. 292, 301-02 (1993). EAJA fees are payable to the litigant. Astrue

v. Ratliff, 586 U.S. 586, 589 (2010).

The Court found the ALJ's non-disability finding -- that Plaintiff was not disabled prior

to July 23, 2013 -- unsupported by substantial evidence, and remanded this case to the

Commissioner under the Fourth Sentence of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further proceedings. Doc.

12. Accordingly, Plaintiff is the prevailing party in this case for EAJA purposes and, therefore,

is entitled to an award of attorney's fees under EAJA. See Shalala, 509 U.S. at 301-02. Having

considered the nature of the work counsel performed in this case, the Court finds the stipulated

fee reasonable. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to an EAJA fee award in the stipulated amount

of \$4,700.00.

Accordingly, based on the foregoing, the Court: (1) APPROVES the parties' joint

stipulation for an EAJA fee award (doc. 16); (2) **DENIES** Plaintiff's motion for an EAJA fee

award (doc. 14) as **MOOT**; and (3) **GRANTS** Plaintiff EAJA fees in the amount of \$4,700.00.

As no further matters remain pending for review, this case remains **TERMINATED** upon the

Court's docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: September 30, 2015

s/ Michael J. Newman

Michael J. Newman

United States Magistrate Judge

2