v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

Charles Dipasquale,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:16-cv-219 Judge Thomas M. Rose

Mag. Judge Michael J. Newman

Detective James Hawkins, et al,

Defendants.

DECISION AND ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT JAMES HAWKINS' OBJECTIONS TO ENTRY AND ORDER: (1) VACATING PREVIOUSLY ISSUED SCHEDULING ORDER; (2) GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT; AND (3) ORDERING PLAINTIFF TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT (ECF 86) AND DENYING DEFENDANT BRAD PROCTOR'S OBJECTION TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE ORDER. (ECF 88).

This matter is before the Court on Defendant James Hawkins's Objections to Entry and Order: (1) Vacating Previously Issued Scheduling Order; (2) Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint; and (3) Ordering Plaintiff to File Amended Complaint (ECF 86) and Defendant Brad Proctor's Objection to Magistrate Judge Order. (ECF 88).

After a review of the record, this Court finds that Magistrate Judge Michael Newman's decisions were neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law. Consequently, the Court will deny Defendants' objections. **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Defendant James Hawkins's Objections to Entry and Order: (1) Vacating Previously Issued Scheduling Order; (2) Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint; and (3) Ordering Plaintiff to File

Amended Complaint (ECF 86) and Defendant Brad Proctor's Objection to Magistrate Judge Order (ECF 88) are **DENIED**.

DONE and **ORDERED** this Wednesday, February 20, 2019.

s/Thomas M. Rose

THOMAS M. ROSE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE