

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION**

CITY OF DAYTON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

No. 3:17-cv-229

Judge Thomas M. Rose

Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz

ORDER

Upon consideration it is hereby

ORDERED that the Unopposed Motion of Dr. Russell Portenoy to Stay the Date by Which to Respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint and For an Extension of Time Pending Final Determination of Removal is **GRANTED**; it is further

ORDERED that the date by which Dr. Russell Portenoy shall answer, move, or otherwise respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint is hereby stayed pending a final determination of removal; and it is further

ORDERED that Dr. Russell Portenoy shall have seventy-five (75) days after the final determination of removal by which to answer, move, or otherwise respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED

ENTERED this 28th day of August 2017

***S/THOMAS M. ROSE**

Thomas M. Rose
UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE