

Court must affirmatively find, that the contingency fee sought, even one within the 25% cap, is reasonable for the services rendered. *Gisbrecht v. Barnhard*, 535 U.S. 789, 807 (2002).

The Social Security Act “does not displace contingen[cy]-fee agreements,” but rather “calls for court review of such arrangements as an independent check, to assure that they yield reasonable results in particular cases.” *Gisbrecht*, 535 U.S., at 807. A 25% contingency fee agreement “should be given the weight ordinarily accorded a rebuttable presumption.” *Rodriquez v. Brown*, 865 F.2d 739, 746 (6th Cir. 1989). A reduction of a contingency award may be appropriate when counsel acts improperly or provides ineffective assistance, or when “counsel would otherwise enjoy a windfall because of either an inordinately large benefit award or from minimal effort expended.” *Id.* Such an award is not improper merely because it results in an above-average hourly rate. *Royzer v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 900 F.2d 981, 981-82 (6th Cir. 1990).

As the Sixth Circuit explained:

It is not at all unusual for contingent fees to translate into large hourly rates if the rate is computed as the trial judge has computed it here [dividing the hours worked into the amount of the requested fee]. In assessing the reasonableness of a contingent fee award, we cannot ignore the fact that the attorney will not prevail every time. The hourly rate in the next contingent fee case will be zero, unless benefits are awarded. Contingent fees generally overcompensate in some cases and undercompensate in others. It is the nature of the beast.

Id. “A hypothetical hourly rate that is less than twice the standard rate is *per se* reasonable, and a hypothetical hourly rate that is equal to or greater than twice the standard rate may well be reasonable.” *Hayes v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 923 F.2d 418, 422 (6th Cir. 1990).

Here, Plaintiff’s counsel’s itemized statement indicates that he, his co-counsels, and paralegals spent a total of 39.9 hours representing Plaintiff before this Court (26.1 hours for one attorney; 4.1 hours for another attorney; 1.1 hours for another attorney; 1 hour for another attorney; and 7.6 hours for all paralegals. (Doc. #27-1, PageID #s 2226-28, 2230-32, 2234-35).

Plaintiff's counsel requested a fee of \$26,923.98. (Doc. #27-1, PageID #2211). Once the paralegal fees (7.6 hours at \$80 per hour) are deducted from the total, the fee is then divided by 32.3 attorney hours spent working on the case, resulting in a hypothetical hourly rate of \$814.74, a rate the Commissioner has not opposed. *Id.*; (Doc. #28, PageID #2237).

Plaintiff's counsel did not provide his standard hourly rate. In the absence of counsel's standard hourly rate, judges within this district have assessed whether the hypothetical hourly rate falls within a range of rates previously found reasonable. *See Joseph F. v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, No. 1:17-CV-57, 2024 WL 1477384, at *3 (S.D. Ohio Apr. 5, 2024) (Litkovitz, M.J.), *report and recommendation adopted*, 2024 WL 1719595 (S.D. Ohio Apr. 22, 2024) (Barrett, D.J.); *Lee v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, No. 3:14-CV-291, 2018 WL 2999909, at *4 (S.D. Ohio June 15, 2018) (Rice, D.J.). Counsel's hypothetical hourly rate of \$814.74 fits comfortably within the range of fees that judges in this district have found reasonable. *See Benita R. v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, No. 1:20-CV-55, 2022 WL 2133746, at *2 (S.D. Ohio June 14, 2022) (Litkovitz, M.J.) (\$753.62 hypothetical hourly rate); *Kleisinger v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, No. 1:15-CV-134, 2020 WL 2838510, at *2 (S.D. Ohio June 1, 2020) (Black, D.J.) (\$788.16 hypothetical hourly rate); *Joel M. v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, No. 1:19-CV-1033, 2022 WL 1316243, at *2 (S.D. Ohio May 3, 2022) (Litkovitz, M.J.) (\$851.06 hypothetical hourly rate); *Martha G. v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, No. 1:19-CV-936, 2023 WL 2885887, at *2 (S.D. Ohio Apr. 11, 2023) (Bowman, M.J.), *report and recommendation adopted*, 2024 WL 3230994 (S.D. Ohio May 3, 2024) (Barrett, D.J.) (\$869.56 hypothetical hourly rate); *Allan v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, No. 3:15-CV-183, 2021 WL 4932004, at *2 (S.D. Ohio Oct. 21, 2021) (Ovington, M.J.) (\$1,316.19 hypothetical hourly rate). Moreover, the time devoted by Plaintiff's counsel to this matter is likewise reasonable. *See, e.g., Spiller v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, 940 F.Supp. 2d 647, 652 (S.D. Ohio 2013) (Newman, M.J.), *report and recommendation adopted*, 940 F.Supp. 2d 647 (S.D. Ohio 2013) (Rice, D.J.) ("Without establishing a firm, bright line rule,

the Court surveyed a large number of EAJA fees/costs petitions recently filed in this District, and found the general range of time expended on these cases is 15-25 hours.”). Counsel’s requested fee is therefore reasonable.

Additionally, the Court previously accepted the parties’ stipulation to an award of \$6,900.00 in attorney fees to Plaintiff’s counsel under the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA). (Doc. #25). The EAJA award, however, was subject to offset in the amount of any debt Plaintiff owed the Government. (Doc. #25). Plaintiff’s attorney indicated that he received EAJA fees in the total amount of \$6,900.00. (Doc. #27-1, PageID #2211). Counsel may not recover attorney fees under both the EAJA and 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) for the same work. Therefore, Plaintiff’s counsel must return to Plaintiff the previously awarded EAJA fees in the amount of \$6,900.00. *See Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 796 (2002).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion for Attorney Fees filed by Plaintiff’s counsel (Doc. #27) is **GRANTED**;
2. Plaintiff’s counsel shall be awarded attorney fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1) in the amount of \$26,923.98;
3. Plaintiff’s counsel is ordered to remit \$6,900.00 of this sum directly to Plaintiff upon receipt;
4. The remaining funds that the Commissioner has withheld from Plaintiff’s past-due benefits in anticipation of a § 406(b) award should be released to Plaintiff; and
5. The case remains terminated on the docket of this Court.

June 3, 2024

s/Peter B. Silvain, Jr.

Peter B. Silvain, Jr.
United States Magistrate Judge