IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA JAN - 9 2013

OMAR H. COLE,		WILLIAM B. GUTHRIE Clerk, U.S. Diatrict Court By Deputy Clerk
	Plaintiff,	
		No. CIV 12-435-RAW-SPS
KEN GRACE, et al.,		\
	Defendants.	\(\)

OPINION AND ORDER

On October 22, 2012, plaintiff filed this complaint with a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The motion was granted on November 20, 2012, and he was directed to pay an initial partial filing fee within twenty days. On November 26, 2012, plaintiff's copy of the order granting leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* was returned to the court with the notation that plaintiff was "gone." He has made no further contact with the court.

Plaintiff has failed to advise the court of his current address, as required by Local Civil Rule 5.5(a). Therefore, this action is dismissed for failure to prosecute. *See United States ex rel. Jimenez v. Health Net, Inc.*, 400 F.3d 853, 854-56 (10th Cir. 2005) (dismissing appeal sua sponte for failure to prosecute because appellant disappeared and failed to meet court deadlines).

ACCORDINGLY, this action is, in all respects, DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED this ______ day of January 2013.

RONALD A. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE