Galindo v. Fulk et al Doc. 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DANIEL BRIBIESCA GA	LINDO,)	
I	Plaintiff,)	
)	No. CIV 13-494-RAW-SPS
NICK FULK, et al.,)	
I	Defendants.)	

OPINION AND ORDER

On November 13, 2013, the court granted plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed *in* forma pauperis and directed him to pay an initial partial filing fee within twenty (20) days [Docket No. 6]. On December 2, 2013, and December 3, 2013, mail from the court, including the order granting *in forma pauperis* status, was returned with notations that plaintiff no longer was at that address [Docket Nos. 8 and 9].

Plaintiff has failed to advise the court of his current address, as required by Local Civil Rule 5.5(a). Therefore, this action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See United States ex rel. Jimenez v. Health Net, Inc.*, 400 F.3d 853, 854-56 (10th Cir. 2005) (dismissing appeal sua sponte for failure to prosecute because appellant disappeared and failed to meet court deadlines).

ACCORDINGLY, this action is, in all respects, DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 13th day of December 2013.

Dated this 13th day of December, 2013.

Ronald A. White

United States District Judge Eastern District of Oklahoma

La. White