

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

RYAN WALKER,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. CIV-15-28-SPS
)	
KEN GOLDEN,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**OPINION AND ORDER REGARDING
MOTIONS IN LIMINE AND
DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS**

- A. The Plaintiff's Motion in Limine and Supporting Brief [Docket No. 63] is GRANTED by agreement of the parties.
- B. Regarding Defendant's Motion and Brief in Limine [Docket No. 59], the Court orders as follows:
1. The Defendant's motion is GRANTED as to items 1-2, 4, 6, 8-11.
 2. The Defendant's motion is GRANTED as to item 3, with the proviso that it may later be deemed relevant depending on testimony provided at trial, particularly from Lisa Miller.
 3. The Defendant's motion is DENIED and PERMITTED as to item 5 to the same extent denied and permitted in CIV-15-29-SPS, *Liverman v. Golden*.
 4. The Defendant's motion is DENIED as to item 7 subject to the provisions of Fed. R. Evid. 608.
 5. The Defendant's motion is DENIED as to item 12 ("Witness and Exhibits not listed on Plaintiff's witness or exhibit list"), to be re-urged at the time of trial if appropriate.

6. The Defendant's motion is DENIED as to items 13-14.

C. Regarding the Defendant's Objections to Plaintiff's Deposition Designations

for Lisa Miller [Docket No. 82], the Court orders as follows:

1. The Defendant's Objections to Plaintiff's Deposition Designations are GRANTED as to items 1-5, and 8-9, 13, and 17-18.
2. The Defendant's Objections to Plaintiff's Deposition Designations are GRANTED as to items 10-12, with the same proviso as stated with regard to item 3 of Defendant's Motion and Brief in Limine [Docket No. 59], that they may later be deemed relevant depending on testimony provided at trial, particularly from Lisa Miller.
3. The Defendant's Objections to Plaintiff's Deposition Designations are DENIED as to items 6-7, 14-16, and 20-21.
4. The Defendant's Objections with regard to item 19 are DENIED as to page 68, lines 1-3, and GRANTED as to page 68, lines 4-5.

D. Regarding the Defendant's Objections to Plaintiff's Deposition Designations

for Jaime Newberry Calfy [Docket No. 87], the Court orders as follows:

1. The Defendant's Objections are GRANTED as to items 1-8, 10, 12-13, and 18-25, 30-40, 42, 46-47.
2. The Defendant's Objections to Plaintiff's Deposition Designations are GRANTED as to items 9, with the same proviso as stated with regard to item 3 of Defendant's Motion and Brief in Limine [Docket No. 59], that they may later be deemed relevant depending on testimony provided at trial, particularly from Lisa Miller.
3. The Defendant's Objections are DENIED as to items 11, 14-17, 26-29, 41, 43-44, 48.
4. The Defendant's Objection as to item 45 is DENIED, except that it is GRANTED with regard to any reference to Cody Liverman.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 19th day of February, 2016.



Steven P. Shreder
United States Magistrate Judge
Eastern District of Oklahoma