## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jerry Brakebill and Barbara Mullins Brakebill,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 18-CIV-104-RAW

Bank of America and Carrington Mortgage Services,

Defendants.

## OPINION AND ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

Plaintiffs have filed a motion requesting the court to appoint counsel [Docket No. 5]. They bear the burden of convincing the court that the claim has sufficient merit to warrant appointment of counsel. McCarthy v. Weinberg, 753 F.2d 836, 838 (10th Cir. 1985) (citing United States v. Masters, 484 F.2d 1251, 1253 (10th Cir. 1973)). The court has carefully reviewed the merits of plaintiffs' claims, the nature of factual issues raised in his allegations, and their ability to investigate crucial facts. McCarthy, 753 F.2d at 838 (citing Maclin v. Freake, 650 F.2d 885, 887-88 (7th Cir. 1981)). After considering plaintiffs' ability to present their claims and the complexity of the legal issues raised by the claims, the court finds that appointment of counsel is not warranted. See Williams v. Meese, 926 F.2d 994, 996 (10th Cir. 1991); see also Rucks v. Boergermann, 57 F.3d 978, 979 (10th Cir. 1995). ACCORDINGLY, Plaintiffs' motion [Docket No. 5] is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 27th day of June, 2018.

ald A. White

Ronald A. White United States District Judge Eastern District of Oklahoma