

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE BANK,  
INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ARROW TRUCKING CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.10-CV-16-GKF-FHM

**OPINION AND ORDER**

Defendant Carol Pielsticker Bump’s Motion for Protective Order and/or a Limited Stay of Discovery [Dkt. 114] is before the court for decision. The court ordered an expedited response to the motion which has now been filed. [Dkt. 117].

The motion seeks to defer the deposition of Defendant Carol Pielsticker Bump until after the court rules on Defendant’s motion for partial summary judgment. [Dkt. 113]. Defendant contends she will suffer an undue burden if her deposition is held as noticed and further contends that Plaintiff will suffer no harm by deferring the deposition. Plaintiff responds that Defendant’s deposition is needed to respond to Defendant’s motion for partial summary judgment and that Defendant will have to be deposed even if Defendant’s motion for partial summary judgment is granted.

The court finds that Defendant has not established good cause to defer her deposition until the court rules on Defendant’s motion for partial summary judgment. Defendant’s deposition is needed for Plaintiff to be in a position to respond to the motion. Moreover, Defendant will not suffer undue prejudice by being deposed. Participation in discovery is an ordinary burden of being involved in litigation.

Defendant Carol Pielsticker Bump's Motion for Protective Order and/or a Limited Stay of Discovery [Dkt. 114] is DENIED.

SO ORDERED this 8<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2011.

  
FRANK H. McCARTHY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE