Parker v. Rudek Doc. 21

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TERRANCE C. PARKER,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
VS.)	No. CIV-10-908-C
)	
JAMES RUDEK, Warden,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

ORDER

This action for habeas corpus relief brought by a prisoner, proceeding <u>pro se</u>, was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Robert E. Bacharach, consistent with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Judge Bacharach entered a Report and Recommendation on December 30, 2010, to which Petitioner has timely objected. The Court therefore considers the matter <u>de novo</u>.

The facts and relevant law are set out in full in the accurate and well-reasoned opinion of the Magistrate Judge and no point would be served in repeating that analysis. In his objection, Petitioner merely restates the conclusions and legal argument originally asserted, and raises no issue not fully considered and correctly rejected by the Magistrate Judge. There is no argument of fact or law set forth in the objection which would require a different result.

Accordingly, the Court adopts, in its entirety, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and for the reasons announced therein, denies this petition for habeas corpus relief. A judgment will enter accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 27th day of January, 2011.

ROBIN J. CAUTHRON

United States District Judge