

SUMMARY RESULTS General Election — November 2, 2010

		VOTES -	% PR	ECINCTS
FOR GOVERNOR			2229	OF 2229
MARY FALLIN	REP	625,506	60.45%	
JARI ASKINS	DEM	409,261	39.55%	
FOR LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR			2229	OF 2229
TODD LAMB	REP	659,242	64.03%	
KENNETH CORN	DEM	334,711	32.51%	
RICHARD PRAWDZIENSKI	IND	35,665	3.46%	
FOR STATE AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR				OF 2229
GARY JONES	REP	570,174		
STEVE BURRAGE	DEM	449,152	44.06%	
FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL			2229	OF 2229
SCOTT PRUITT	REP	666,407		
JIM PRIEST	DEM	357,162	34.89%	
FOR STATE TREASURER				OF 2229
KEN MILLER	REP			
STEPHEN E COVERT	DEM	339,272	33.43%	
FOR SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION			2229	OF 2229
JANET BARRESI		573,716		
SUSAN PADDACK	DEM			
RICHARD E. COOPER	IND	65,243	6.36%	
FOR COMMISSIONER OF LABOR				OF 2229
MARK COSTELLO		649,748		
LLOYD L. FIELDS	DEM	362,805	35.83%	
FOR INSURANCE COMMISSIONER				OF 2229
JOHN DOAK	REP			
KIM HOLLAND	DEM	464,310	45.52%	
FOR UNITED STATES SENATOR				OF 2229
TOM COBURN	REP	•		
JIM ROGERS	DEM	•		
STEPHEN P. WALLACE	IND	25,048		
RONALD F. DWYER	IND	7,807	.77%	
FOR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 1	D 77	353 353	349	OF 349
JOHN SULLIVAN	REP		76.80%	F
ANGELIA O'DELL	IND	45,656	23.20%	
FOR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 2			570	of 🐉 🧢
CHARLES THOMPSON	REP	83,226		
DAN BOREN	DEM	108,203	56.52%	

CIV-10-1186-M 1

(-)

FOR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 3 FRANK D. LUCAS FRANKIE ROBBINS	REP DEM	•		OF 571	
FOR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO. 5 JAMES LANKFORD BILLY COYLE CLARK DUFFE DAVE WHITE	REP DEM IND IND	123,236 68,074 3,067 2,728	62.52% 34.54% 1.56%	OF 328	}
SUPREME COURT - STEVEN W. TAYLOR YES NO	NOP NOP		64.88%	OF 2229)
SUPREME COURT - JAMES R. WINCHESTER YES NO	NOP NOP	571,893 307,615	65.02%	OF 2229	,
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS - DEBORAH B. BARNES YES NO	NOP NOP		64.11%	OF 2229)
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS - DOUG GABBARD II YES NO	NOP NOP	539,326 336,136	61.60%	OF 2229)
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS - JOHN F. FISCHER YES NO	NOP NOP	· · · · ·	62.74%	OF 2229)
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS - LARRY E. JOPLIN YES NO	NOP NOP	548,247 329,520		OF 2229)
FOR STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 4 MARK ALLEN NEIL BRANNON	REP DEM	9,974 9,451		OF 55	5
FOR STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 6 JOSH BRECHEEN JAY PAUL GUMM	REP DEM	11,719 8,925	69 56.77% 43.23%	OF 69)
FOR STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 8 JANICA EDMONDS ROGER BALLENGER	REP DEM	9,064 10,564	69 46.18% 53.82%	OF 69	}
FOR STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 10 EDDIE FIELDS DALE CHRISTENSON, JR.	REP DEM	14,324 8,641	62 62.37% 37.63%	OF 62	2
FOR STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 14 FRANK SIMPSON DARRYL ROBERTS	REP DEM	13,408 7,987	61 62.67% 37.33%	OF 61	L
FOR STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 16 SHARON PARKER JOHN SPARKS	REP DEM	9,460 10,507	37 47.38% 52.62%	OF 37	7
FOR STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 18 KIM DAVID JANICE ALDRIDGE	REP DEM	13,334 6,902	54 65.89% 34.11%	OF 54	Į
FOR STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT NO. 42 CLIFF A ALDRIDGE MIKE KELLY	REP DEM	14,954 6,934	37 68.32% 31.68%	OF 37	7

FOR	STATE	SENATOR, DISTRI RALPH SHORTEY RANDY ROSE	CT NO. 44			REP DEM	6,060 4,509		OF	33
FOR	STATE	SENATOR, DISTRI JOSHUA JANTZ ANDREW RICE	CT NO. 46			REP DEM	3,507 7,548	31.72%	OF	33
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, RUSTY FARLEY DENNIS R. BAILE		NO.	1	REP DEM	4,118 3,984		OF	31
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, JOHN R. BENNETT GLEN BUD SMITHS		NO.	2	REP DEM	4,794 4,004	54.49%	OF	27
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, ROGER MATTOX JAMES LOCKHART	DISTRICT	NO.	3	REP DEM	4,178 4,713		OF	24
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DWAYNE THOMPSON MIKE BROWN	DISTRICT	NO.	4	REP DEM	3,262 5,555		OF	19
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, MARTY QUINN ERIC CULLEN	DISTRICT	NO.	9	REP DEM	9,914 3,407		OF	17
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, STEVE MARTIN NICK BROWN	DISTRICT	NO.	10	REP DEM	6,330 3,468	64.61%	OF	28
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, PAUL C. PARROTT ED CANNADAY	DISTRICT	NO.	15	REP DEM	3,325 5,894		OF	35
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, MICAH THOMPSON BRIAN RENEGAR	DISTRICT	NO.	17	REP DEM	4,176 5,740		OF	49
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, KYLE BURMEIER DONNIE CONDIT	DISTRICT	NO.	18	REP DEM	4,708 4,817	36 49.43% 50.57%	OF	36
FOR		REPRESENTATIVE, DUSTIN ROBERTS NATHAN W. WILLIA		NO.	21	REP DEM	4,965 4,623	27 51.78% 48.22%	OF	27
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, ALLIE BURGIN WES HILLIARD	DISTRICT	NO.	22	REP DEM	3,894 6,008	34 39.33% 60.67%	OF	34
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, SUE TIBBS MARK W. MANLEY	DISTRICT	NO.	23	REP DEM	4,801 2,300	20 67.61% 32.39%	OF	20
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, JOSH COCKROFT CHRIS ODNEAL	DISTRICT	NO.	27	REP DEM	5,667 3,810	19 59.80% 40.20%	OF	19
FOR		REPRESENTATIVE, TOM NEWELL ED SMITH	DISTRICT	NO.	28	REP DEM	5,339 3,425		OF	26

FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, SKYE McNIEL DAVID W. NARCOM		NO.	29	REP DEM	7,942 2,203	78.28%	OF	25
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, MARK E. McCULLO DONNA MARIE VOG	UGH	NO.	30	REP DEM	7,726 2,460		OF	21
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, JOHN B. HUSTED DANNY MORGAN	DISTRICT	NO.	32	REP DEM	4,293 6,936	38.23%	OF	27
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, RYAN SMITH CORY T. WILLIAM		NO.	34	REP DEM	4,610 4,890	48.53%	OF	17
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DENNIS CASEY RODGER ENSIGN	DISTRICT	NO.	35	REP DEM	7,868 3,240	70.83%	OF	31
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, SEAN ROBERTS GREG BROWN	DISTRICT	NO.	36	REP DEM	5,912 4,671	55.86%	OF	26
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, STEVE VAUGHAN KEN LUTTRELL	DISTRICT	NO.	37	REP DEM	5,028 4,534	52.58%	OF	23
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, COLBY SCHWARTZ J. P. HEMMINGER	DISTRICT	NO.	43		10,491 2,393		OF	18
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, KENT HUNT EMILY VIRGIN	DISTRICT	NO.	44	REP DEM	3,187 5,577	36.36%	OF	16
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, AARON STILES WALLACE COLLINS	DISTRICT	NO.	45	REP DEM	6,313 5,823	52.02%	OF	16
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, SCOTT MARTIN ZACHARY KNIGHT	DISTRICT	NO.	46	REP I N D	10,972 2,692		OF	24
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, TOMMY C. HARDIN SAMSON R. BUCK	DISTRICT	NO.	49	REP DEM	5,237 5,201	50.17%	OF	33
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, RANDY TERRILL AMY CORLEY	DISTRICT	NO.	53	REP DEM	-		OF	16
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, PHIL RICHARDSON MAYA TORRALBA	DISTRICT	NO.	56	REP DEM	7,173 2,532		OF	36
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, JEFF HICKMAN WILSON JOHN ADAM		NO.	58	REP DEM	9,400 1,559		OF	41
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, GUS BLACKWELL STEPHEN SKACALL	DISTRICT	NO.	61	REP IND	6,518 1,948		OF	46
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE,	DISTRICT	NO.	64			12	OF	12

		ANN COODY MICHAEL J. CORRALES			REP DEM	4,577 1,433			
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO JADINE NOLLAN ELI POTTS	ο.	66	REP DEM	3,600 3,503	50.68%	OF	18
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO GLEN MULREADY SETH WATKINS	ο.	68	REP DEM	6,768 3,188		OF	17
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO DANIEL S. SULLIVAN JEFF TRACY	ο.	71	REP DEM	5,774 3,910	59.62%	OF	20
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO RANDALL L. REESE SENECA D SCOTT	ο.	72	REP DEM	1,059 3,326	19 24.15% 75.85%	OF	19
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO MOLLY MCKAY JEANNIE MCDANIEL	ο.	78	REP DEM	•	46.58%	OF	24
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO SALLY KERN BRITTANY M. NOVOTNY	ο.	84	REP DEM	5,717 2,958		OF	22
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO DAVID DANK GAIL VINES EDWARD A. SHADID	ο.	85	REP DEM IND	7,450 3,990 1,346	58.27%	OF	21
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO JASON NELSON DANA ORWIG	0.	87	REP DEM	5,147 4,369		OF	13
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO DOMINIQUE DAMON BLOCK, SR. AL McAFFREY	0.	88	REP DEM	1,826 4,173	30.44%	OF	12
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO MIKE REYNOLDS HOLLIS HARPER	ο.	91	REP DEM	10,197 3,454	74.70%	OF	16
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO MIKE CHRISTIAN WANDA JO PELTIER	ο.	93	REP DEM	2,722 2,184	55.48%	OF	16
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO KYLE COULTER SCOTT INMAN	ο.	94	REP DEM	3,252 4,814	40.32%	OF	19
FOR		REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO CHARLIE JOYNER MICHAEL A. WALKER	ο.	95	REP DEM	5,481 2,891	65.47%	OF	15
FOR		REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO MIKE SHELTON DANIEL N. STANKIEWICZ	ο.	97	DEM IND	8,514 1,638		OF	34
FOR		REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO JOHN TREBILCOCK DENNIS W. WEESE	ο.	98	REP DEM	9,453 2,987	75.99%	OF	23
FOR	STATE	REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT NO	٥.	101			23	OF	23

GARY BANZ JOHNNY LAUDERMILK	REP DEM	7,896 3,692		
FOR DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT NO 6		•	107 OF	107
FOR DISTRICT ATTORNEY, DISTRICT NO. 6 JASON HICKS	REP	22,557		107
BRET BURNS	DEM		41.64%	
FOR DISTRICT ATTORNEY, DISTRICT NO. 8			51 OF	51
BRIAN HERMANSON THOMAS SALISBURY	REP DEM	12,039 5,237	69.69% 30.31%	
THOMAS SALISBURI	DEM	5,237	30.310	
FOR DISTRICT ATTORNEY, DISTRICT NO. 10 REX DUNCAN	DED	0.056	44 OF 52.58%	44
JEFF S. JONES	DEM	9,956 8,980		
FOR DISTRICT ATTORNEY, DISTRICT NO. 11			36 OF	36
KEVIN D. BUCHANAN	REP	•	72.67%	
ALAN W. GENTGES	DEM	5,296	27.33%	
FOR DISTRICT ATTORNEY, DISTRICT NO. 27			115 OF	115
BRIAN J. KUESTER JERRY S. MOORE	REP DEM	27,628 19,250		
JERRI S. MOORE	DEM	19,250	41.000	
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 2 OFF NO. 1	MOD	0.000	59 OF	59
DOUG HAUGHT RICHARD PHILLIPS	NOP NOP	9,968 8,319	54.51% 45.49%	
		•		
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 7 OFF NO. 7 CINDY TRUONG	NOP	95,786	303 OF 56.57%	303
PAT CRAWLEY	NOP	73,528		
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 14 OFF NO. 9			285 OF	285
JOHN M. EAGLETON	NOP	•		
LINDA MORRISSEY	NOP	91,380	61.46%	
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 14 OFF NO. 13			285 OF	285
BILL MUSSEMAN CARL FUNDERBURK	NOP NOP	91,005 52,890		
	1,01	32,030		
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 14 OFF NO. 14 JON R. PATTON	NOD	69,917	285 OF	285
KURT G. GLASSCO	NOP	72,751		
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 16 OFF NO. 1			69 OF	69
JONATHAN K. SULLIVAN	NOP	9,952	54.90%	0,5
MARION D. FRY	NOP	8,177	45.10%	
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 17 OFF NO. 1			67 OF	67
WILLARD DRIESEL	NOP	•	53.18% 46.82%	
J. P. LONGACRE	NOP	7,036	40.023	
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 20 OFF NO. 1	MOD	12 100	78 OF	78
DENNIS MORRIS THOMAS S WALKER	NOP NOP	•	56.17% 43.83%	
			# 41 Am	
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 21 OFF NO. 1 TRACY SCHUMACHER	NOP	45,696	141 OF 57.60%	141
JONATHAN NICHOLS	NOP	•		
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 21 OFF NO. 2			141 OF	141
GREG DIXON	NOP	•		
JOHN A. MANTOOTH	NOP	36,449	45.91%	
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 21 OFF NO. 3			141 OF	141
JEFF HARLEY BRYANT	NOP	26,555	33.77%	
				OD / ** **

TOM A. LUCAS	NOP	52,091	66.23%		
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 21 OFF NO. 4 CHARLES L. BROADWAY LORI M. WALKLEY		27,761 50,332		OF	141
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 23 OFF NO. 1 CINDY FERRELL ASHWOOD ROBERT THOMPSON		16,511 9,635	63.15%	OF	54
FOR DISTRICT JUDGE, DIST. NO. 24 OFF NO. 3 KEN ADAIR JOHN MALEY	NOP NOP	17,450 12,002	59.25%	OF	93
FOR ASSOCIATE DISTRICT JUDGE, BECKHAM COUNTY MIKE ABEL MICHELLE KIRBY-ROPER	NOP NOP	2,169 3,133	40.91%	OF	13
FOR ASSOCIATE DISTRICT JUDGE, BRYAN COUNTY CHRIS D. JONES ROCKY L. POWERS	NOP NOP		29 38.64% 61.36%	OF	29
FOR ASSOCIATE DISTRICT JUDGE, CHOCTAW COUNTY JAMES R. WOLFE THOMAS J. HADLEY	NOP NOP	2,188 1,707	56.17%	OF	18
FOR ASSOCIATE DISTRICT JUDGE, JEFFERSON COUNTY DENNIS GAY JON TOM STATON	NOP NOP		51.63%	OF	11
FOR ASSOCIATE DISTRICT JUDGE, MAJOR COUNTY TIM HAWORTH JOHN W. McCUE, II	NOP NOP		14 54.46% 45.54%	OF	14
STATE QUESTION NO. 744, INITIATIVE PETITION NO. FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	NOP	189,164 828,589	18.59%	OF	2229
STATE QUESTION NO. 746, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO		746,053	74.34%	OF	2229
STATE QUESTION NO. 747, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	NO. 34 NOP NOP	695,592 299,789	2229 69.88% 30.12%	OF	2229
STATE QUESTION NO. 748, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO					2229
STATE QUESTION NO. 750, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	NO. 35 NOP NOP	485,703 478,042	2229 50.40% 49.60%	OF	2229
STATE QUESTION NO. 751, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	NO. 35 NOP NOP	740,918 239,904	2229 75.54% 24.46%	OF	2229
STATE QUESTION NO. 752, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	NO. 35 NOP NOP	606,805 358,925	2229 62.83% 37.17%	OF	2229
STATE QUESTION NO. 754, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	NOP	361,907 614,219	37.08%		2229

11/21/2010 5:30 PM

QUESTION NO. 755, LEGISLATIVE	REFERENDUM	NO. 35	5	2229	OF	2229
FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES		NOP				
AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO		NOP	296,944	29.92%		
QUESTION NO. 756, LEGISLATIVE	REFERENDUM	NO. 35	6	2229	OF	2229
FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES		NOP	638,530	64.73%		
AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO		NOP	347,956	35.27%		
QUESTION NO. 757, LEGISLATIVE	REFERENDUM	NO. 35	7	2229	OF	2229
FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES		NOP	499,287	51.02%		
AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO		NOP	479,353	48.98%		
	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO QUESTION NO. 756, LEGISLATIVE FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO QUESTION NO. 757, LEGISLATIVE FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO QUESTION NO. 756, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES NOP AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO NOP NOP OP NOP NOP NOP NOP NOP NOP AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - YES NOP AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO NOP NOP NOP NOP NOP NOP NOP NOP NOP	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO NOP 296,944 QUESTION NO. 756, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 356 FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO NOP 347,956 QUESTION NO. 757, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 357 FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES NOP 499,287	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES NOP 695,650 70.08% AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO NOP 296,944 29.92% QUESTION NO. 756, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 356 2229 FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES NOP 638,530 64.73% AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO NOP 347,956 35.27% QUESTION NO. 757, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 357 2229 FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES NOP 499,287 51.02%	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES NOP 695,650 70.08% AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO NOP 296,944 29.92% QUESTION NO. 756, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 356 2229 OF FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES NOP 638,530 64.73% AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO NOP 347,956 35.27% QUESTION NO. 757, LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 357 2229 OF FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES NOP 499,287 51.02%