Haddock v. Astrue Doc. 15

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DENISE KAY HADDOCK,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	NO. CIV-10-1393-HE
	)	
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner, Social Security Administration	)	
	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

ORDER

Plaintiff Denise Haddock filed this case seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration ("Commissioner") denying her application for supplemental security income payments under the Social Security Act. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), the case was referred to Magistrate Judge Bana Roberts. Judge Roberts recommended the Commissioner's decision be affirmed. Report and Recommendation [Doc. #14]. Objections to Judge Roberts's Report and Recommendation were due by November 29, 2011.

The parties, having failed to object to the Report and Recommendation, waived their right to appellate review of the factual and legal issues it addressed. United States v. 2121 E. 30th St., 73 F.3d 1057, 1059 (10th Cir. 1996); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) ("A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations *to which objection is made*." (emphasis added)). The court therefore **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Roberts's Report and Recommendation [Doc. #14], and the Commissioner's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Dockets.Justia.com

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 17th day of January, 2012.

JOE HEATON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE