1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANGEL OLIVERA,	No. 2:13-cv-2376 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. Since May 2012, plaintiff has been housed at the North Fork Correctional Facility	
19	(NFCF) in Sayre, Oklahoma. (ECF No. 1 at 13.) His complaint alleges that, after arriving at	
20	NFCF, he was placed on lockdown and denied all normal programs for five months, while	
21	inmates of other races (plaintiff is Southern Hispanic) were not subject to the lockdown. ( <u>Id.</u> at	
22	14-15.) Plaintiff names as defendants four high-level CDCR officials, including Secretary	
23	Matthew Cate, and seven officials at NFCF.	
24	The federal venue statute provides that a civil action "may be brought in (1) a judicial	
25	district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the	

The federal venue statute provides that a civil action "may be brought in (1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in

this action, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

Venue of this action is technically appropriate in the Eastern District of California because the Director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (hereafter "CDCR Director") resides in this district. Nevertheless, the court is transferring this action to Western District of Oklahoma pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) in the interests of justice for the following reasons.

It is clear that plaintiff is suing the CDCR officials solely in a respondeat superior capacity. Additionally, plaintiff is seeking monetary damages only and has not stated a claim for injunctive relief. As such, the CDCR defendants are subject to be dismissed from this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. See Fayle v. Stapley, 607 F.2d 858, 862 (9th Cir. 1979). Venue would then be improper in this district because no defendant would be found here. Venue would also be improper because the claims for which plaintiff seeks relief took place in the Western District of Oklahoma. Thus, it is appropriate that those claims be litigated in that district.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is transferred to the Western District of Oklahoma.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: February 20, 2014

8 |

2/oliv2376.21c