

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

ALEX MOORE,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. CIV-17-01167-JD
)	
SCOTT JAY, Sheriff and all Appointees)	
Concern, individually, and DIANE)	
BILBO, Captain and all Appointees)	
Concern, individually,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is United States Magistrate Judge Suzanne Mitchell’s Report and Recommendation [Doc. No. 91] filed on December 1, 2020. Judge Mitchell recommends that the Court deny Plaintiff Alex Moore’s Motion to Reopen Case [Doc. No. 86] and Motion for Order to Stop Payment of Settlement Check [Doc. No. 87].

Judge Mitchell advised Mr. Moore of his right to file an objection to the Report and Recommendation with the Clerk of Court by December 22, 2020, and that failure to timely object to the Report and Recommendation waives the right to appellate review of both factual and legal issues in the Report. [Doc. No. 91 at 8–9]. *See Duffield v. Jackson*, 545 F.3d 1234, 1237 (10th Cir. 2008); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (“A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations *to which objection is made.*”) (emphasis added); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (same). “In the absence of timely objection, the district court may review a magistrate[] [judge’s] report under any standard it deems appropriate.”

Summers v. Utah, 927 F.2d 1165, 1167 (10th Cir. 1991) (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150, 154 (1985)). Mr. Moore did not file an objection to the Report and Recommendation by the deadline, nor did he request an extension of time to do so.

Upon its review of the parties' submissions and exhibits attached thereto [Doc. Nos. 86, 87, 89, and 90] and the Report and Recommendation [Doc. No. 91], the Court **ACCEPTS** and **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation [Doc. No. 91] in its entirety for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation. Accordingly, for the reasons in the Report and Recommendation [Doc. No. 91], the Court **DENIES** Mr. Moore's Motion to Reopen Case and Motion for Order to Stop Payment of Settlement Check [Doc. Nos. 86 and 87].

IT IS SO ORDERED this 31st day of December 2020.



JODI W. DISHMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE