

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

JAMARCO GOFF,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case No. CIV-24-1287-SLP
	)	
FNU WASHINGTON, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**ORDER**

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (R&R) of United States Magistrate Judge Amanda Maxfield Green [Doc. No. 9]. The Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court dismiss this action without prejudice for Plaintiff’s failure to comply with the Court’s Orders [Doc. Nos. 4, 6, 8]. *See* R&R [Doc. No. 12] at 1-4. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of his right to object to the R&R and directed that any objections be filed on or before March 5, 2025. *Id.* at 3. No objection has been filed, nor has an extension of time in which to object been sought or granted.<sup>1</sup>

Upon review, the Court fully concurs with the analysis set forth in the R&R. Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation [Doc. No. 9] is ADOPTED and this action

---

<sup>1</sup> The docket reflects that the R&R was returned as undeliverable. [Doc. No. 13]. Plaintiff is responsible for providing notice of any change of address to the Court. *See* LCvR5.4(a) (requiring written notice of a change of address and further providing that papers sent by the Court are “deemed delivered if sent to the last known address given to the court.”); *see also Theede v. United States Dep’t of Labor*, 172 F.3d 1262, 1267-68 (10th Cir. 1999) (pro se plaintiff who failed to provide any change of address waived right to review of report and recommendation by failing to make a timely objection).

is DISMISSED without prejudice. A separate judgment of dismissal shall be entered contemporaneously with this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2025.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**SCOTT L. PALK**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**