

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

LEWIS EDWARD LARSON,

Civ. No. 10-218-CL

Plaintiff,

v.

BRIAN COOK, et al.,

**ORDER**

Defendants.

---

**PANNER, District Judge:**

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed a Report and Recommendation, and the matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the district court makes a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc., 656 F. 2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981).

Here, plaintiff objects to the Report and Recommendation, so

I have reviewed this matter de novo. I agree with Judge Clarke that plaintiff cannot show a violation of his due process or equal protection rights based on the loss of a prison job. Accordingly, I ADOPT the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Clarke.

**CONCLUSION**

Magistrate Judge Clarke's Report and Recommendation (#29) is adopted. Defendants' motion to dismiss (#21) is granted with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 18 day of January, 2010.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Owen M. Panner", is written over a horizontal line.

OWEN M. PANNER  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE