## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

JANE DOE, by and through her guardian Christina H., Civ. No. 10-3113-CL et al.,

Plaintiffs,

V.

MEDFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT 549C, et al.,

ORDER

Defendants.

## PANNER, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed a Report and Recommendation, and the matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Although no objections have been filed, this court reviews the legal principles de novo. See Lorin Corp. v Goto & Co., Ltd., 700 F.2d 1202, 1206 (9th Cir. 1983).

I have given the legal issues de novo review. I agree with Magistrate Judge Clarke that plaintiffs' motion to strike should be denied. I also agree that defendants' motions to dismiss should be granted in part and denied in part, as explained in the Report and Recommendation's thorough discussion. Accordingly, I ADOPT the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Clarke.

## CONCLUSION

Magistrate Judge Clarke's Report and Recommendation (#60) is adopted. Plaintiffs' motion to strike (#49) is denied.

Defendants' motion to dismiss (#42) is granted in part and denied in part as explained by the Report and Recommendation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 180 day of March, 2011.

OWEN M. PANNER

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE