

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ERIC FLORES,

Plaintiff,

v.

**UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
GENERAL and FEDERAL BUREAU OF
INVESTIGATION,**

Defendants.

Civ. No. 1:15-cv-00644-CL

OPINION AND ORDER

MCSHANE, Judge:

Plaintiff, *pro se*, seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP) in this action alleging that an “organized group of executive employees of the federal government” used “advanced technology with a direct signal to a satellite in outerspace [sic]” to violate his rights under the First Amendment. Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke issued a Report and Recommendation on April 24, 2015, in which he recommended that this Court dismiss plaintiff’s complaint as frivolous. The matter is now before this Court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

Because no objections to the Report and Recommendation were timely filed, this Court reviews only the legal principles *de novo*. See *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); see also *United States v. Bernhardt*, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444–45 (9th Cir. 1988) (citations omitted). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, this Court finds no error in Judge Clarke’s Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 4.

1 – OPINION AND ORDER

CONCLUSION

This Court ADOPTS Judge Clarke's Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 4, in full. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion to transfer to multidistrict litigation, ECF No. 1-3, is DENIED, and plaintiff's application for leave to proceed IFP, ECF No. 2, is DENIED

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 12th day of May, 2015.



Michael J. McShane
United States District Judge