

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PATRICK WARD and BIANCA WARD
and AS NEXT BEST FRIEND R.W.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KADI ESTES, CHARLEY TRACY,
JEANETTE GRANT, ST. CHARLES
HEALTH SYSTEM INC., dba ST.
CHARLES MEDICAL CENTER,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:17-cv-00944-CL

ORDER

MCSHANE, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed a Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 48), and the matter is now before this court. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Plaintiffs filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. Accordingly, I have reviewed the file of this case *de novo*. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c); *McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc.*, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981). I find no error and conclude the report is correct.

It is clear exigent circumstances justified the State of Oregon’s Department of Human Services (“DHS”) child protective services workers’ decision to remove newborn R.W. from

