Vaughan v. Nooth Doc. 52

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

DARREN EARL VAUGHAN,

Case No. 2:13-cv-00963-KI

Petitioner,

JUDGMENT

v.

MARK NOOTH,

Respondent.

Thomas J. Hester Assistant Federal Public Defender 101 SW Main Street, Suite 1700 Portland, Oregon 97204

Attorney for Petitioner

Ellen F. Rosenblum Attorney General Nick M. Kallstrom Assistant Attorney General

Page 1 - JUDGMENT

Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, Oregon 97301-4096

Attorneys for Respondent

KING, Judge:

Based on the record,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [8] is denied. This proceeding is dismissed with prejudice. Because petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right, a certificate of appealability is denied. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 30th day of June, 2015.

/s/ Garr M. King
Garr M. King
United States District Judge