Richardson v. Nooth Doc. 33

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

JOHN RICHARDSON,		
Petitioner,		Civ. No. 2:13-cv-01297-TC
v.	>	ORDER
MARK NOOTH,		
Respondent.	J	

## MCSHANE, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed a Findings and Recommendation (#30), and the matter is now before this court. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. Although neither party filed objections, I reviewed the legal principles *de novo*. *United States v. Bernhardt*, 840 F.2d 1441, 1445 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998). I find no error and conclude the report is correct. Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation (#30) is adopted. The petition (#2) is DENIED and this action is dismissed, with prejudice. Should petitioner appeal, a certificate of appealability should be denied as petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 17th day of February, 2015.

\_\_\_\_\_\_/s/ Michael J. McShane
Michael McShane
United States District Judge

1 –ORDER