Floyd v. Watkins Doc. 34

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

WILLIAM PATRICK FLOYD,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:14-cv-01775-SB

v.

OFFICER WATKINS, in individual and official capacities,

ORDER

Defendant.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Beckerman issued a Findings and Recommendation [30] on July 16, 2015, in which she recommends that this Court grant Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [24]. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, I am relieved of my obligation to review the record *de novo*. <u>United States v.</u>

Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); see also <u>United States v. Bernhardt</u>, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (*de novo* review required only for portions of Magistrate

1 – ORDER

Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, I find no error.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Beckerman's Findings & Recommendation [30], and therefore, grants Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [24].

IT IS SO ORDERED.

MARCO A. HERNÁNDEZ United States District Judge