Anthony v. Myrick Doc. 115

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PENDLETON DIVISION

JACOB ANTHONY,

Case No. 2:15-cv-00291-CL OPINION AND ORDER

Petitioner,

vs.

JOHN MYRICK, Superintendent, Two Rivers Correctional Institution,

Respondent.

AIKEN, District Judge:

United States Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke issued a Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") (doc. 99) on June 13, 2019, recommending that Petitioner Jacob Anthony's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (doc. 2) be denied. Judge Clarke further recommended petitioner be denied a Certificate of Appealability because petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). Petitioner timely filed objections (doc. 113) to the F&R

to which respondent responded (doc. 114). The matter is now before me pursuant to

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

When a party objects to any portion of a Magistrate Judge's F&R, the district

court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the F&R. 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1); Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); United States v.

Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

I have carefully considered petitioner's objections and conclude there is no

basis to modify the F&R. I have also reviewed the pertinent portions of the record de

novo and find no errors in the F&R.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Clarke's F&R (doc. 99) and therefore

petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (doc. 2) is DENIED. A Certificate of

Appealability is denied because petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the

denial of a constitutional right pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this <u>I</u>th day of March 2020.

Ann Aiken

United States District Judge