

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
PENDLETON DIVISION

SIR GIORGIO SANFORD CLARDY,

Case No. 2:17-cv-00178-CL

**ORDER**

Plaintiff,

vs.

GREG JONES, *et al.*,

Defendants.

---

AIKEN, District Judge:

On August 17, 2017, Magistrate Judge Clarke filed his Findings and Recommendation (“F&R”), recommending that plaintiff’s Second Amended Complaint be dismissed with prejudice. Plaintiff filed objections, so I review *de novo* “those portions of the report . . . to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); *accord* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3); *Holder v. Holder*, 392 F.3d 1009, 1022 (9th Cir. 2004).

I have reviewed this matter *de novo*. I ADOPT the F&R (doc. 12) and DISMISS with prejudice the Second Amended Complaint for failure to state a claim. For the reasons stated in the F&R, I also REVOKE plaintiff’s *in forma pauperis* status. The Clerk of the Court is ordered to enter a judgment dismissing this proceeding in its entirety.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 4<sup>th</sup> day of December 2017.



---

Ann Aiken  
United States District Judge