Wonnacott v. Heehn et al Doc. 100

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DAVID EDGAR WONNACOTT,

No. 2:19-cv-00567-JR

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

CAPTAIN DAVID HEEHN, et al.,

Defendants.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Russo issued a Findings and Recommendation on February 28, 2024, in which she recommends that the Court grant Defendant Richard Carpenter's Motion for Summary Judgment. F&R, ECF 97. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, the Court is relieved of its obligation to review the record *de novo*. *United States v*. *Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); *see also United States v*.

Bernhardt, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (*de novo* review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, the Court finds no error.

CONCLUSION

The Court adopts Magistrate Judge Russo's Findings and Recommendation [97].

Accordingly, Defendant Carpenter's Motion for Summary Judgment [89] is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:	April 5, 2024	

MARCO A. HERNÁNDEZ United States District Judge