IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

TERESA E.A. TEATER,

Case No. 3:05-cv-00604-HU

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

PFIZER, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Michael H. Simon, District Judge.

United States Magistrate Judge Dennis J. Hubel issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on September 17, 2013. Dkt. 122. Judge Hubel recommended that Defendants' Bill of Costs (Dkt. 117) be denied. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act ("Act"), the court may "accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party files objections to a magistrate's findings and recommendations, "the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." Id.; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. See Thomas v. Arn,

474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act], intended

to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report[.]"); United States. v. Reyna-Tapia, 328

F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (the court must review de novo magistrate's findings

and recommendations if objection is made, "but not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude

further review by the district judge[] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard."

Thomas, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)

recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review the magistrate's findings

and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made objections, this Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory

Committee and reviews Judge Hubel's Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the face

of the record. No such error is apparent. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS Judge Hubel's

Findings and Recommendation, Dkt. 122. Defendants' Bill of Costs (Dkt. 117) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 18th day of October, 2013.

/s/ Michael H. Simon

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge

PAGE 2 – ORDER