Wilson-Sauls v. Curtis et al Doc. 106

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ROBIN R. WILSON-SAULS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Case No. 07-163-AS
v.)	
)	ORDER
MICHELLE D. CURTIS; WARREN C.)	
GIVENS; LEROY B. HAINS; RONALD)	
R. HAERTLING; LTC, DAVID E.)	
HOLLIDAY; BONNIE ANN LAZOR;)	
DANIEL McBRIDE; SALLY McBRIDE;)	
ROBERT E. STEELE; DANIEL L.)	
TRETTEN; and UNITED STATES OF)	
AMERICA,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
	_)	

Helen C. Tompkins 111 S.W. Columbia Street, Suite 1000 Portland, Oregon 97201

Page 1 - ORDER

Randall J. Wolfe 15573 S.W. Bangy Road, Suite 250 Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Karin J. Immergut United States Attorney District of Oregon James L. Sutherland Kevin C. Danielson 1000 S.W. Third Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, Oregon 97204-2902

Steven A. Kraemer Travis J. Mayor Hoffman, Hart & Wagner, LLP 1000 S.W. Broadway, 20th Floor Portland, Oregon 97205

Attorneys for Defendants

KING, Judge:

The Honorable Donald Ashmanskas, United States Magistrate Judge, filed Findings and Recommendation on November 9, 2007. The matter is before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). No objections have been timely filed. This relieves me of my obligation to give the factual findings de novo review. Lorin Corp. v. Goto & Co., Ltd., 700 F.2nd 1202, 1206 (8th Cir. 1983); See also Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, I find no error.

Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Ashmanskas' Findings and Recommendation (#79).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Wilson-Sauls' Motion to File Amended Complaint and to Correct Caption (#66) is granted and McBrides' Motion for Summary Judgment (#38) is granted in part and denied in part.

DATED this _____ day of February, 2008.

/s/ Garr M. King
GARR M. KING
United States District Judge