Doc. 47

filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint (doc. # 32). The court construed the motion to dismiss as a motion for summary judgment, and on November 18, 2008, entered a summary judgment advice order, extending the time for Mr. Anderson to respond to the defendants' motion. (Doc. # 43).

Mr. Anderson did not file a response to the motion for summary judgment, but on January 6, 2009, filed a motion to dismiss all claims without prejudice. (Doc. # 45). The defendants do not oppose Mr. Anderson's motion to dismiss.

I recommend that Mr. Anderson's motion to dismiss (doc. # 45) be GRANTED, that this action be dismissed without prejudice, and that defendants' motion for summary judgment (doc. # 32) be DENIED as moot.

## Scheduling Order

The above Findings and Recommendation will be referred to a United States District Judge for review. Objections, if any, are due February 66, 2009. If no objections are filed, review of the Findings and Recommendation will go under advisement on that date. If objections are filed, a response to the objections is due February 20, 1009, and the court's review of the Findings and Recommendation will go under advisement with the District Judge on that date.

Dated this _	22nd	_ day of January, 2009.	
		/s/ Dennis J. Hubel	
		Dennis James Hubel	
		United States Magistrate Judg	ſΕ