Naylor v. Heatherman Doc. 18

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

KAREN NAYLOR, Trustee of the Randall J. Jenks and Lynis T. Jenks Bankruptcy Estate, United

States Bankruptcy Court, Central District of

California, case No. 04-16958,

Plaintiff, Civil No. 08-1255-ST

ORDER v.

PATRICIA HEATHERMAN, an Oregon resident,

Defendant.

HAGGERTY, Chief Judge:

Magistrate Judge Stewart issued a Findings and Recommendation [16] in this action that recommended that the Motion to Remand [6] pending in this action should be GRANTED, and the pending Motion for Intra-District Transfer [3] should be DENIED as moot.

No objections were filed to this Findings and Recommendation, and the case was referred

to me. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of

Civil Procedure 72(b). When no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that

there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation of the

Magistrate. Campbell v. United States District Court, 501 F.2d 196 (9th Cir. 1974).

No clear error appears on the face of the record. This court adopts the Findings and

Recommendation in the manner described below.

**CONCLUSION** 

The Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation [16] is ADOPTED AS FOLLOWS:

Plaintiff's Motion to Remand [6] is GRANTED and defendant's Motion for Intra-District

Transfer [3] is DENIED as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this <u>12</u> day of January, 2009.

/s/ Ancer L. Haggerty

Ancer L. Haggerty

United States District Judge