#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

# PORTLAND DIVISION

STERLING SAVINGS BANK, a Washington stock savings bank,

09-CV-452-AC

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

JHM PROPERTIES, LLC, an Oregon limited liability company; JAMES GEORGE; JAY MOSES; and MARY JO MOSES,

Defendants.

CHARLES R. MARKLEY DANIEL L. STEINBERG

Greene & Markley, P.C. 1515 S.W. Fifth Avenue Suite 600 Portland, OR 97201 (503) 295-2668

Attorneys for Plaintiff

### TERRANCE J. SLOMINSKI

Slominski & Associates Commerce Plaza 7150 S.W. Hampton Street Suite 201 Tigard, OR 97223 (503) 968-2505

Attorneys for Defendants JHM Properties, LLC; Jay Moses; and Mary Jo Moses

#### ALEX C. TRAUMAN

Motschenbacher & Blattner, LLP 117 S.W. Taylor Street Suite 200 Portland, OR 97204 (503) 417-0500

Attorneys for Defendant James George

## BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge John V. Acosta issued Findings and Recommendation (#54) on February 17, 2010, in which he recommends the Court grant Plaintiff's Motion (#30) for Summary Judgment. Defendants filed timely Objections to the Findings and Recommendation. The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate

Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make

a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's

report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). See also United States v. Reyna
Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)(en banc); United

States v. Bernhardt, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988).

In their Objections, Defendants reiterate the arguments in 1 - ORDER their Answers and Memoranda in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. This Court has carefully considered Defendants' Objections and concludes they do not provide a basis to modify the Findings and Recommendation. The Court also has reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and does not find any error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation.

#### CONCLUSION

The Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Acosta's Findings and Recommendation (#54) and, therefore, **GRANTS** Plaintiff's Motion (#30) for Summary Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this of May, 2010.

ANNA J. BROWN

United States District Judge