IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

JEFFREY GIULIO, individually; and JEFFREY GIULIO, a conservator of the estate of T.G., a minor child,

No. CV. 09-481-AC

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

BV CENTERCAL, LLC, a Delaware corporation; CENTERCAL ASSOCIATES, LLC, a Delaware corporation; CENTERCAL PROPERTIES, LLC, a Delaware corporation; IPC INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, an Illinois corporation, CITY OF TUALATIN, a municipal corporation; and BRAD KING, an individual,

Defendants.

Susan K. Lain HOHBACH LAW FIRM, LLC 4000 Kruse Way Place Building 2 Suite 340 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

Attorney for Plaintiff

1 - ORDER

Wm Kelly Olson MITCHELL LANG & SMITH 2000 One Main Place 101 SW Main Street Portland, OR 97204-3230

Attorney for Defendant BV CenterCal, LLC

Steven A. Kraemer Mark C. Sherman HOFFMAN HART & WAGNER, LLP 1000 SW Broadway 20th Floor Portland, OR 97205

Attorneys for Defendant CenterCal Properties, LLC

Lee S. Aronson SCHULTE ANDERSON DOWNES ARONSON BITTNER, PC 811 SW Naito Parkway Suite 500 Portland, OR 97204-3379

Attorney for Defendant IPC International Corporation

David C. Lewis MILLER & WAGNER, LLP 2210 NW Flanders Street Portland, OR 97210

Attorney for Defendants City of Tualatin, Oregon and Brad King

HERNANDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge John V. Acosta issued a Findings and Recommendation (doc. #99) on August 3, 2011, in which he recommends that I grant the motions for summary judgment (doc. #29 and #33) filed by CenterCal Properties, LLC and BV CenterCal, LLC, respectively. The Magistrate Judge also issued a Findings and Recommendation (doc. #100) the same day, August

3, 2011, in which he recommends that I grant the motion for summary judgments (doc. #40) filed

by IPC International Corporation. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations were

timely filed, I am relieved of my obligation to review the record de novo. United States v.

Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003); see also United States v. Bernhardt, 840 F.2d

1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (de novo review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's

report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, I find

no error.

CONCLUSION

The court ADOPTS the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations (doc. #99

and #100). Accordingly, Defendants' motions for summary judgment (doc. #29, #33, and #40)

are GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 6th day of September, 2011.

/s/ Marco A. Hernandez

Marco A. Hernandez

United States District Judge

3 - ORDER