IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

NORMAN H. FENTON, DAVID CARNEY-FENTON, and LISA CARNEY-FENTON

Civil No. 09-1240-ST

Plaintiffs,

ORDER

v.

CITY OF PORTLAND, an Oregon municipal corporation,

Defendant.

Norman H. Fenton 3510 NW Vesta Street Portland, Oregon 97219

Pro Se Plaintiff

Terence L. Thatcher City Attorney's Office 1221 S.W. Fourth Avenue, Room 430 Portland, Oregon 97204

Attorney for Defendant

Page 1 - ORDER

KING, Judge:

The Honorable Janice Stewart, United States Magistrate Judge, filed Findings and

Recommendation on February 16, 2010. Plaintiff filed timely objections to the Findings and

Recommendation.

When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate's Findings and Recommendation

concerning a dispositive motion or prisoner petition, the district court must make a de novo

determination of that portion of the magistrate's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ.

P. 72(b); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309,

1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982). This court has, therefore, given de novo

review of the rulings of Magistrate Judge Stewart.

This court ADOPTS the Findings and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Stewart

(#15) dated February 16, 2010 in its entirety.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the City's Motion to Dismiss for Want of Jurisdiction

(Ripeness) (#5) is granted.

DATED this _____ day of March, 2010.

/s/ Garr M. King

GARR M. KING

United States District Judge