UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

JAY P. MALMQUIST, D.M.D.; JAY P. MALMQUIST, D.M.D., P.C.; RODNEY S. NICHOLS, D.M.D.; RODNEY S. NICHOLS, D.M.D., P.C.; HENRY C. WINDELL, D.M.D.; HENRY C. WINDELL, D.M.D., P.C.; NORTHWEST ORAL AND MAXILLOFACIAL SURGEONS, LLC; and GARY T. PEDERSON, D.D.S, P.C., Civil No. 09-1309-PK

ORDER

Plaintiffs,

v.

OMS NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY, an Illinois Risk Retention Group; BRENDA L. MACLAREN-BEATTIE, dba THE MACLAREN GROUP,

Defendants.

HAGGERTY, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Papak has issued a Findings and Recommendation [84] in this action.

The Magistrate Judge recommends granting in part and denying in part defendant OMS National

1 -- ORDER

Insurance Company's Motion for Summary Judgment [27]. He also recommends denying plaintiffs' Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [48] and Motion to Strike [49]. No objections were filed, and the case was referred to this court.

The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). When no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation of the Magistrate. *Campbell v. U.S. Dist. Ct.*, 501 F.2d 196 (9th Cir. 1974).

No clear error appears on the face of the record. Accordingly, this court adopts the Findings and Recommendation in its entirety.

CONCLUSION

The Findings and Recommendation [84] is adopted. OMS National Insurance Company's Motion for Summary Judgment [27] is granted as to plaintiffs' Washington Consumer Protection Act claim and denied as to plaintiffs' remaining claims. Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [48] is denied and plaintiffs' Motion to Strike [49] is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this <u>18</u> day of January, 2011.

/s/ Ancer L. Haggerty

Ancer L. Haggerty United States District Judge