IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

EMILY C. OTOSKI, Individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of Richard E. Otoski, for the benefit of EMILY C. OTOSKI, surviving wife of the deceased and NATHAN OTOSKI, MATTHEW OTOSKI, AND SHANNON LEE, surviving children of the deceased,

Plaintiff,

v.

AVIDYNE CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; CESSNA AIRCRAFT COMPANY, a Kansas corporation,

Defendants.

MARSH, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Paul Papak filed his Findings and Recommendation (#90) on October 6, 2010. The matter is now before me. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ P. 72(b). No objections have been timely filed. This relieves me of my obligation to give the factual findings <u>de novo</u> review. <u>See</u> §636(b)(1)(C); <u>Simpson v. Lear Astronics Corp.</u>, 77 F.3d 1170, 1174-75 (9th Cir. 1996). Having reviewed the legal principles <u>de</u> <u>novo</u>, I find no error.

Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings and

Civil No. 09-3041-PK

Recommendation #90. Cessna's motion (#50) for summary judgment is GRANTED because Otoski's claims against it are precluded as a matter of law by the bankruptcy court's November 28, 2007 Order. Otoski's motion (#65) for leave to conduct destructive examination is GRANTED because Cessna is no longer a party to the action, rendering its objections moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this <u>15</u> day of November, 2010.

/s/ Malcolm F. Marsh Malcolm F. Marsh United States District Judge